

From: [Miller, Garyg](#)
To: [Foster, Anne](#)
Subject: FW: Water Well Samples - San Jacinto Area
Date: Thursday, January 28, 2016 7:39:23 AM

Anne,

See email below from Jackie; know of any way we could see the "litigation" sample results?

Thanks,

Gary Miller
Remedial Project Manager
EPA Region 6 – Superfund Division (6SF-RA)
214-665-8318
miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [mailto:jeyoung@texanstogogether.org]
Sent: Monday, January 18, 2016 9:05 AM
To: Miller, Garyg
Subject: Re: Water Well Samples - San Jacinto Area

Gary,

I do not have copies of those results that I can share. The depths are varying from less than one hundred feet, to greater than 300 feet. The wells are located on the Highlands side. Some are within a 1/2 mile of the Site and some are located on Barbers Hill Rd. This is a less than favorable situation because I cannot share the data due to litigation but I am working to see that your agency receives it ASAP.

Were you able to view the two reports I did send?

Thank you!
Jackie Young

On Thu, Jan 14, 2016 at 4:17 PM, Miller, Garyg <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

Jackie,

Do you have the results, location, and depths for the other samples you mentioned, the ones close to the pits with the TEQs greater than 4 (pg/L)?

Thanks,

Gary Miller
Remedial Project Manager
EPA Region 6 – Superfund Division (6SF-RA)
[214-665-8318](tel:214-665-8318)



9682404

miller.garyg@epa.gov

From: Jacquelyn Young [mailto:jeyoung@texanstogogether.org]

Sent: Thursday, January 14, 2016 11:16 AM

To: Miller, Garyg

Subject: Re: Water Well Samples - San Jacinto Area

Gary,

I am in a conference today but I will try to forward the data via phone. If you cannot access it please let me know and I'll resend this evening.

One of the wells tested is on Park street and comes from a community well that serves the first two streets in town.

The other well is on Grace Ln. You may find an indicator in the reports as to which test came from which location. The report that should say "RAWL" is from Grace Ln. "HANS" is from Park st.

I believe both wells are greater than 300 feet deep.

The sample results I have may have QA/QC issues. Jennifer Ronk did provide feedback on at least one of the reports and basically in short, dioxin is present.

The TEQs are much lower in the samples I took than in other samples that I have seen the results for. I have seen TEQs greater than 4 in other tests in addition to more dioxin congeners present. The other results I've viewed are from around where I lived and in close proximity to the Pits.

Thank you,
Jackie Young

On Thursday, January 14, 2016, Miller, Garyg <Miller.Garyg@epa.gov> wrote:

Jackie,

Can you send the analysis results from the second well you sampled? We only have the one you sent so far. Also, can you give me an idea of where they are located & how deep if you know that.

There was an article in the Baytown Sun today quoting you about water well samples – was that the two you got before or was it some additional sampling?

Thanks,

Gary Miller
Remedial Project Manager
EPA Region 6 – Superfund Division (6SF-RA)
[214-665-8318](tel:214-665-8318)
miller.garyg@epa.gov

